



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/676,289 | 10/01/2003 | Kazuhide Inoue | U 014843-4 | 8299 |
| 7590 03/28/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| LADAS & PARRY 26 West 61 st Street | | | VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN | |
| New York, NY 10023 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1635 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/676,289 | INOUE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Tracy Vivlemore | 1635 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja | nuarv 2006. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| <u>/</u> | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-41</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-41 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | n)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | - u u | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ed. | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) M Internitorio Comment | v (BTO 413) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 20050831 | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | · <u></u> | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Any rejection not reiterated in this Action is withdrawn.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 28, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1 and 3-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) is withdrawn in view of the disclaiming affidavits of the co-authors of the Tsuda et al. reference.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/676,289

Art Unit: 1635

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chun (US 2002/0151480).

The claims are directed to methods of identifying compounds useful for treatment of tactile allodynia by assaying the ability of a test compound to inhibit interaction between P2X4 and an agonist such as ATP. The method may be performed in mammalian cells that may express only the P2X4 receptor and determination of the test compound's ability to inhibit P2X4 interaction may be made by measuring Ca2+ ion flux.

Chun discloses methods of identifying modulators of P2X4 (referred to in this reference as 10218) at pages 3-7 under the heading of Screening Assays. Chun discloses at paragraph 32 cell based assays in which a cell expressing 10218 is contacted with a test compound and the ability of this compound to modulate 10218 activity is determined. The cell-based assays can be performed in mammalian cells and the ability of the test compound to modulate 10218 activity can be determined by assaying intracellular calcium. Paragraph 69 discloses that assays can be performed in cells that exogenously express 10218 after transfection of a vector encoding 10218 into the cell. At paragraph 23, one 10218 activity is disclosed as being the association of 10218 with a 10218 target molecule; a molecule such as ATP with which 10218 protein binds or interacts with in nature. Chun discloses that 10218 activity and the modulators identified by the disclosed screening methods are candidates for treatment of cardiovascular disease. Although Chun is silent with regard to these modulators being useful for treating tactile allodynia, the steps disclosed by Chun meet the step limitations Art Unit: 1635

of the instant claims and thus absent evidence to the contrary this method is expected to identify selective inhibitors of P2X4 useful for treatment or prevention of tactile allodynia.

Thus, Chun discloses all limitations of and anticipates claims 1 and 3-9.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Vivlemore whose telephone number is 571-272-2914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service

Art Unit: 1635

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

> Tracy Vivlemore Examiner Art Unit 1635

TV March 10, 2006